

Facilitating industrial use of photon, neutron and muon research infrastructure

A point of view from the
personal care, pharmacy, and
specialty chemicals industries
Bernard Cabane, PMMH lab, ESPCI

B. Cabane - List of industrial collaborations (> 1 day/year)

Name	field	paid beamtime	published
UGS	soap		
Rhône-Poulenc	specialty chemicals	SANS, SAXS	films
Rhodia	specialty chemicals	SANS, SAXS	particles
BASF	specialty chemicals		
Lafarge	cement		
Saint Gobain	ceramics	SAXS	particles
Aventis	pharmacy	SANS	vesicles
Sanofi	pharmacy		
Ylipsa	pharmacy		
ADOCIA	pharmacy		
L'OREAL	cosmetics	SANS, SAXS	emulsions
SARP-I	industrial waste		

Average industrial (= paid) use of large instruments:

1 to 3 days /year slowly increasing over 20 years

Total = 30 days of industrial use of D11, ID02 and SWING

recently: ESRF 50 %, SOLEIL 40%, ILL 10 %

Facilitating industrial use of photon, neutron and muon research infrastructure

Rem: The goal of industry is not to use an infrastructure
 The goal of industrial research is
 to solve industrial problems in fast, reliable way

Goals of industrial research?

Solve	technical	problems
Establish	structure-property	relations
Improve	product	properties
Establish clear advantage over competition		

Are the techniques offered by the large scale infrastructures appropriate for solving industrial problems ?

Personal Care: What are the products?

Aimed at the consumer Applied to the skin= water based, evaporation

Combination of properties: UV protection, hydration, active ingredients

Compositions = water, oil, surfactants, polymers, pigments, actives

Strong demand for new products (20 % of the market)

Must have immediate, visible effects or must feel good (play)

+ longer term effects (?)

Multi component mixtures (> 10 components)

Water based = water has high cohesion, many components do not mix with it

→ emulsions, dispersions, micellar solutions, gels or assemblies of microgel

Aqueous phase contains water, glycols, macromolecules, microgels,

Oil phase contains oils , waxes, pigments, actives

These components do not mix at the molecular scale

→ **segregated at scales = 1-1000 nm**

Need techniques to characterize multicomponent materials

that are segregated at scales = 1-1000 nm

Opportunity for facilities with appropriate instruments

(potential >> 3 days / year/research lab)+

Functions (what does the customer buy?)

1 - Perform tasks that are in conflict with each other

Lipsticks: smooth, easy application, liquid-like surface (shine)
non-transfer, comfort

2 - Bring the active ingredient
to the right place,
at the right time,
in the right form

These properties cannot be achieved with equilibrium states

They can be achieved through a **succession of non-equilibrium states**

In order to improve performance, it is necessary to

characterise and then control these non-equilibrium states

Opportunity for facilities with small angle scattering instruments
(potential \gg 3 days / year?)

What difficulties must be overcome?

Most industrial processes take place very far from equilibrium
(why? 1- choice of final state 2- faster kinetics)

START



RUN



STOP

Prepare the system
In the chosen
initial state
(composition, T)

Need fast mixing
Or T jump

Need to understand
processes, select
one among many

Observe the system
Through SANS, SAXS
Model the
structural evolution

Need high repetition
Very large q - range

The experiment can be
(deceptively) simple

Trap the system
in final state
Or the system
stops by itself

Does the system
have the desired
Properties?

Criterion for success
= model the process
+ predict properties

What difficulties must be overcome?

Multicomponent materials (> 10 components, many of them incompatible)

Segregated over distances = 1-1000 nm

Large instruments such as D11 or ID02 are very good in this range

But: Phase rule → There may be, at equilibrium, **many coexisting phases**

Changes in composition are difficult to interpret (some phases appear, others vanish)

Moreover: must perform tasks that are in conflict with each other

Cannot be achieved with equilibrium states

Can sometimes be achieved with a succession of **non equilibrium states**

Optimize non-eq pathways through a phase diagram where very many phases coexist

Statistical « brute force » or even « clever » optimization methods don't work

(Number of possible compositions >> number of particles in the universe)

Many results obtained by luck or intuitive optimization

→ Need to study model systems, then transfer the understanding from model systems to commercial products. Who will do this?

Who will do the work?

Need to define study model systems, then transfer the understanding from model systems to commercial products
For a one-day experiment, this involves many days before and many days after the experiment.

Who will do this?

Industrial researchers? (overworked, do not know the techniques offered by ILL and ESRF)

ILL or ESRF staff? (overworked, do not know industrial problems)

Academics? (how do they get involved?)

How can the ILL and ESRF improve the use of large instruments by industry?

In the short term (temporary effects)

1) **Advertise** the potential of the techniques offered by ILL and ESRF

Offer a reduced rate (70 %) for beam-time if a report is written within 3 weeks

Report explains in general terms the aims of the experiments

and the types of results that were obtained. Publish all these reports

2) **Exchange of personnel:** offer to ILL or ESRF staff the possibility

of taking a sabbatical period (6 to 9 months) to take a temporary position in industry

Offer to industrial researchers the possibility of similar position at ILL or ESRF.

Problem: confidentiality!!!

3) Host **conferences** on subjects slightly upstream of the interests of industry

In the long term: (effects after 5 years, long-lasting)

Increase the number of **PhD students** trained by ILL and ESRF

in areas such as materials science, soft matter and physical chemistry

who later may take **positions in industry**

and will then see the potential of techniques offered by ILL and ESRF

for solving industrial research problems

How can the academia and EU improve the use of large instruments by industry?

Solve the interface –manpower problem

- 4) Create **joint academia – industry research teams**. This has been done already, and it has been successful but without emphasis on the use of large scale infrastructures

- 5) Create a **network of academic consultants and consulting groups** that
 - Are experienced with the use of large scale infrastructures
 - Have a record of having successfully solved industrial problems
 - Are registered with some certification agency